

Quantum Operations on Optically Addressed $^{171}\text{Yb}^+$ Qudits

N KOLACHEVSKY^{1,2}, I SEMERIKOV¹, I ZALIVAKO¹, A BORISENKO¹, AND K KHABAROVA¹

¹*Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia*

²*Russian Quantum Center, Skolkovo, Moscow Region, Russia*

Contact Email: kolachevsky@lebedev.ru

Ion-based quantum computers are winning one of the leading positions regarding both the number of qubits and the fidelity of operations [1]. One of the challenges is the scalability since the number of trapped ions in a linear chain is restricted by approximately 50 [2]. There are several approaches on the way to resolve this problem including photonic interconnectors [3], mechanical ion shuffling [4] or increasing the number of addressed internal states. There are numerous theoretical studies demonstrating the advantages of qudit (multi-qubit) systems regarding the number and fidelity of operations.

We study the possibility to use qudits in $^{171}\text{Yb}^+$ ion addressed by 435 nm laser field. The narrow quadrupole transition between $^2\text{S}_{1/2}(\text{F}=0)$ and $^2\text{D}_{3/2}(\text{F}=2)$ states with the natural line width of 3 Hz provide a promising platform for realization of multi-qubit states using the Zeeman structure of the D state. In turn, working at visible wavelength allows to use tellurite acousto-optical deflectors with large deflection angle which simplifies the ion addressing.

The full set of one-qubit operations was demonstrated on the two-ion chain in the linear Paul trap assembled at P.N. Lebedev Institute in the frames of Quantum Computing Roadmap [5]. The fidelity of operations reached 90% and was limited by the noise of addressing semiconductor laser. For two-particle entanglement we implemented the MS gate on the axial vibrational mode after the ground-state cooling. The demonstrated fidelity of 69% can be further improved in the next trap design with the lower heating rate. The full equivalence of the two-qudit space to the four-qubit space as the scalability example show the perspectives of qudits as the powerful tool for certain computational tasks [6]. As the next step we plan to increase the number of qudits and the operation fidelity to benchmark our system on several standard algorithms.

References

- [1] C D Bruzewicz, J Chiaverini, R McConnell and J M Sage, *Appl. Phys. Rev.* **6**, (2019)
- [2] I Pogorelov, T Feldker, Ch D Marciniak *et al.*, *PRX Quantum* **2**, 020343 (2021)
- [3] L J Stephenson, D P Nadlinger, B C Nichol, S An, P Drmota, T G Ballance, K Thirumalai, J F Goodwin, D M Lucas and C J Ballance, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 110501 (2020)
- [4] J M Pino, J M Dreiling, C Figgatt, *et al.*, *Nature* **592**, 209 (2021)
- [5] N V Semenin, A S Borisenko, I V Zalivako, I A Semerikov, K Yu Khabarova and N N Kolachevsky, *JETP Lett.* **114**, 486 (2021)
- [6] E O Kiktenko, A S Nikolaeva, P Xu, G V Shlyapnikov and A K Fedorov, *Phys. Rev. A* **101**, 022304 (2020)